

Root numbers and parity of local Iwasawa invariants

Suman Ahmed, Chandrakant Aribam, Sudhanshu Shekhar

ABSTRACT. Given two elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 defined over the field of rational numbers, $\mathbb{Q}$, with good reduction at an odd prime p and equivalent mod p Galois representation, we compare the p -Selmer rank, global and local root numbers of E_1 and E_2 over number fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a number field. Fix an odd prime p and let $\bar{F}$ denote a fixed algebraic closure of F . For an algebraic field extension L/F and an elliptic curve E defined over F , the p -Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(E/L)$ of E over L is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_p(E/L) \longrightarrow H^1(L, E[p^\infty]) \xrightarrow{\oplus_w \delta_w} \prod_w H^1(L_w, E(\bar{L}_w))$$

where w varies over the set of primes of L , $\bar{L}_w$ denotes a fixed algebraic closure of L_w , $E(\bar{L}_w)$ denotes the $\bar{L}_w$ points of E , $E[p^\infty]$ denotes the p -power torsion points of E defined over $\bar{F}$ and $\oplus_w \delta_w$ denotes the natural restriction map.

Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over the field of rational numbers, $\mathbb{Q}$, with good reduction at p . For an abelian group M , let $M[p]$ denote the p -torsion subgroup of M . We say that E_1 and E_2 are congruent at p if $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ as modules over $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. The aim of this article is to study the variation of the parity of the p -Selmer ranks, global and local root numbers of E_1 and E_2 over F .

Let N_i denote the conductor of E_i over F , and $\bar{N}_i$ be the prime to p -part of the conductor of the Galois representation $E_i[p]$ over F for $i = 1, 2$. Let Σ be the finite set of primes of F containing the primes of bad reduction of E_1 and E_2 , the infinite primes and the primes above p . Put

$$\Sigma_0 := \{v \in \Sigma \mid v \mid N_1/\bar{N}_1 \text{ or } v \mid N_2/\bar{N}_2\}.$$

Let S_{E_i} be the set of primes $v \in \Sigma_0$ such that E_i has split multiplicative reduction at v , and $s_p(E_i/F)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of the Pontryagin dual of $\text{Sel}_p(E_i/F)$. We denote the set of p -th roots of unity by μ_p . Let T be the set consisting of primes v of F in Σ_0 such that $\mu_p \not\subset F_v$ and one of the elliptic curves has multiplicative reduction while the other has additive reduction at v . Note that T can be non-empty only when $p = 3$ (see Lemma 2.5). In this article, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good and ordinary reduction at p . We further assume the following.*

- (a) $E_1[p]$ is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ -module.
 - (b) $\text{Sel}_p(E_1/F_{\text{cyc}})[p]$ is finite where F_{cyc} denotes the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F .
- Let E_1 and E_2 are congruent at p . Then

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2}.$$

AMS Subject Classification: 11G05, 11G07, 11R23, 14H52.

Keywords and phrases: Elliptic curves, Selmer groups, Iwasawa invariants, Root numbers.

The above theorem is proved in [Sh, Theorem 1.1] under the assumption that $\mu_p \subset F$. Next assume that E_1 and E_2 have good supersingular reduction at p . In this case $\text{Sel}_p(E_i/F_{cyc})[p]$ are not finite groups so we consider the $\pm$ Selmer groups $\text{Sel}_p^\pm(E_i/F_{cyc})$ associated to E_i , $i = 1, 2$ as defined by Kobayashi (see Section 4). Then we prove the following analogue of Theorem 1.1 for elliptic curves with supersingular reduction at p .

Theorem 1.2. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good and supersingular reduction at the prime p . We further assume the following.*

(a) $E_1[p]$ is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -module.

(b) $\text{Sel}_p^-(E_1/F_{cyc})[p]$ is finite.

(c) p splits completely over F .

If E_1 and E_2 are congruent at p then

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2}.$$

In this article, we also consider an analogue of the above theorem in terms of root numbers of elliptic curves. For an elliptic curve E and a prime v of F , let $w(E/F_v)$ denote the local root number of E over F_v and $w(E/F)$ denote the global root number of E over F (see Section 5).

Theorem 1.3. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{|S_{E_1}| - |S_{E_2}| + |T|}$$

In this context, we also recall the p -parity conjecture which states that for every elliptic curve E defined over F ,

$$w(E/F) = (-1)^{s_p(E/F)}.$$

This conjecture is known over $\mathbb{Q}$ ([Mo], [Ne], [Ki], [DD]) and for ‘most’ elliptic curves over totally real fields ([Ne2] for odd p and [Bi] for $p = 2$). In particular, the p -parity conjecture together with Theorem 1.3 imply Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 irrespective of the assumptions. However we give independent proofs of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in this article.

For a finite prime $v \nmid p$ of F and a prime $w \mid v$ of F_{cyc} , let σ_E^w denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(F_{cyc,w}, E[p^\infty])$. Note that the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(F_{cyc,w}, E[p^\infty])$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Put $\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v) = \prod_{w \mid v} H^1(F_{cyc,w}, E[p^\infty])$ where w varies over the set of primes of F_{cyc} dividing v . Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_{cyc}/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\Lambda := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n}]$ denote the Iwasawa algebra over Γ where the inverse limit is taken with respect to the natural projection maps.

Since there exists finitely many primes of F_{cyc} dividing v , the Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v)}$ of $\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. In particular, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v)}$ is a torsion Λ -module. Let $\tau_E^v = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v)}$. Then τ_E^v is the Iwasawa λ -invariant of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_v(E/F_v)}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$. Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F_v)}{w(E_2/F_v)} = (-1)^{\tau_{E_1}^v - \tau_{E_2}^v}$$

Let $T_p(E)$ denote the Tate module of E and $V_p(E) := T_p(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$. The natural inclusion $T_p(E) \rightarrow V_p(E)$ induces a homomorphism $f : H^1(F_v, T_p(E)) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, V_p(E))$. Next under the homomorphism $H^1(F_v, T_p(E)) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, E[p])$, we denote $\mathcal{F}(E) := \text{Image}(\ker(f) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, E[p]))$. Let $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{F}(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and

$$d_v(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) := \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathcal{F}_1 / (\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2) \pmod{2}.$$

The invariant $d_v(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ is the *Arithmetic local constant* of Mazur and Rubin [MR]. This invariant has been extensively studied by Nekovar [Ne3]. Assuming that $E_1[p]$ and $E_2[p]$ are symplectically isomorphic $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules, he proved

$$\frac{w(E_1/F_v)}{w(E_2/F_v)} = d_v(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$$

for every finite prime $v \nmid p$ of F [Ne3, Theorem 2.17]. Now under similar assumptions, the above equality together with Theorem 1.4 imply

$$d_v(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = (-1)^{\tau_{E_1}^v - \tau_{E_2}^v}.$$

Hence Theorem 1.4 can be considered as an Iwasawa theoretic analogue of the result of Nekovar.

In Section 2, we compare the parity of local Iwasawa invariants of E_1 and E_2 congruent at p . Using the parity of local Iwasawa invariants, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we compare the root numbers of E_1 and E_2 . The techniques are a careful and detailed analysis of the local cohomology groups. More precisely, we compare the local Iwasawa λ -invariants $\tau_{E_1}^v, \tau_{E_2}^v$ and also the local root numbers of E_1 and E_2 under congruence. The idea of checking for variation of certain invariants attached to elliptic curves is inspired by the work of Greenberg & Vatsal [GV].

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of SERB and DST, Government of India. We would also like to thank the referee for careful reading and useful comments which helped us improve the exposition. This work was written up when the first author was a Postdoctoral fellow at IISER, Mohali and the third author was visiting IISER, Mohali.

2. PARITY OF LOCAL IWASAWA INVARIANTS

Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at an odd prime p . Recall that E_1 and E_2 are congruent at the prime p if $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ as modules over $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let F be a number field and $v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F . Then for every prime $w \mid v$ of F_{cyc} and an elliptic curve E defined over F , the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(F_{cyc,w}, E[p^\infty])$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module [Gr, Proposition 2]. Let σ_E^w denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -corank of $H^1(F_{cyc,w}, E[p^\infty])$. In this section, we compare the parity of $\sigma_{E_1}^w$ and $\sigma_{E_2}^w$ of two congruent elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 with different reduction types at v in the series of lemmas given below.

For an elliptic curve E over F , consider the following exact sequence of Galois modules

$$0 \longrightarrow E[p] \longrightarrow E[p^\infty] \xrightarrow{p} E[p^\infty] \longrightarrow 0$$

where the map p denotes multiplication by p . From the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology w.r.t. $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_{cyc,w})$, we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{H^0(G, E[p^\infty])}{pH^0(G, E[p^\infty])} \longrightarrow H^1(G, E[p]) \longrightarrow H^1(G, E[p^\infty])[p] \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1 (Good – Good). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves with good reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, then $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$.*

Proof. It is well known that for an elliptic curve E over F , $H^1(G, E[p^\infty])$ is divisible [Gr1, Lemma 4.5 and the successive paragraph]. Therefore $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1(G, E[p^\infty]) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^1(G, E[p^\infty])[p]$. Since E_i has good reduction at v , by an argument similar to [EPW, Lemma 4.1.2] one can prove that $H^0(G, E_i[p^\infty])$ is divisible for $i = 1, 2$. Now it follows from the exact sequence (2.1) that $H^1(G, E_i[p]) \cong H^1(G, E_i[p^\infty])[p]$, $i = 1, 2$. Together with $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, we have $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 (Additive). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E be an elliptic curve with additive reduction at v , then $\sigma_E^w = 0$.*

Proof. Let $F' := F_v(\mu_{p^\infty})$ and $H = \text{Gal}(\overline{F_v}/F')$ where μ_{p^∞} denotes the group of all p -power roots of unity. Now from the inflation-restriction exact sequence, we have $H^1(G, E[p^\infty]) \subseteq H^1(H, E[p^\infty])$.

Since F' is unramified outside p , the reduction type does not change for E over F' [Si, Chapter 7, Proposition 5.4]. Hence from [Sh, Lemma 3.5], we get $H^1(H, E[p^\infty]) = 0$ which implies $H^1(G, E[p^\infty]) = 0$ i.e. $\sigma_E^w = 0$. □

Lemma 2.3 (Good – Additive). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$. Then it is not possible to have elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 such that E_1 has good reduction at v , E_2 has additive reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$.*

Proof. Since E_1 has good reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, $E_2[p]$ is unramified at v . Hence we have $E_2[p] \subset E_2(F_v^{nr})$ where F_v^{nr} denotes the maximal unramified extension of F_v . Since $E_2[p]$ has got finitely many points, there exists a finite extension L of F_v contained in F_v^{nr} such that $E_2[p] \subset E_2(L) \subset E_2(F_v^{nr})$. Let $E := E_2$. Consider the following exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow E_k(F_v^{nr}) \longrightarrow E_0(F_v^{nr}) \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow E_0(L) \longrightarrow E(L) \longrightarrow E(L)/E_0(L) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_v$ denotes the residue field at v and $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v})$ denotes the set of nonsingular points of $\tilde{E}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_v}$, E_0 is the set of points with nonsingular reduction and E_k is the kernel of reduction.

By [Si, Theorem 7.6.1], the group $E(L)/E_0(L)$ has at most 4 elements. Since there are $p^2 \geq 9$ elements in $E[p]$, there is a non-trivial element in $E[p]$ whose image in $E(L)/E_0(L)$ is trivial. Let P denote this element. Then $P \in E_0(L)$. Therefore, the torsion element $P \in E_0(F_v^{nr})$.

Since the group $E_k(F_v^{nr})$ is p -torsion free [Si, Proposition 7.3.1], it follows from the exact sequence (2.2) that the image of P in $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v})$ is non-trivial. If E has additive reduction, then $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}_v}$, as an additive group, which is also a p -torsion free group. We therefore arrive at a contradiction since the image of P is a non-trivial torsion element of $\tilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_v})$. It follows that E cannot have additive reduction at v . □

Assume E has multiplicative reduction at p . Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and G_v, I_v denote the decomposition and inertia groups of v over F respectively. Let $\delta : G_v/I_v \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be the unique non-trivial unramified quadratic character of G_v if E has non-split multiplicative reduction, and let δ be the trivial character if E has split multiplicative reduction. Let $\rho_{E,p} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the Galois representation attached to the Tate module of E over F and $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$ be the reduction modulo p of $\rho_{E,p}$. Then from [DDT, Proposition 2.12], we have

$$\rho_{E,p} |_{G_v} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \omega_p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta$$

where ω_p is the p -adic cyclotomic character and

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} |_{G_v} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta$$

where the mod p cyclotomic character is denoted by ϵ_p and $\psi \in H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon_p)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_l^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_l^\times)^p$ corresponds to the Tate period $q_{E,l} \in \mathbb{Q}_l^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_l^\times)^p$ for $v \mid l$.

Let χ_p be the Teichmüller character and we identify it with ϵ_p via the natural embedding $\mathbb{F}_p^* \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Then we have $\omega_p|_G = \epsilon_p$ which implies

$$\rho_{E,p}|_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p}|_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.4. Since $F_{\text{cyc},w}$ is an unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F_v for $v \nmid p$ and $w \mid v$, $\epsilon_p|_G$ and $\delta|_G$ are non-trivial iff $\epsilon_p|_{G_v}$ and $\delta|_{G_v}$ are non-trivial.

Lemma 2.5 (Multiplicative – Additive). *Let $p \geq 5$ and v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$. Then it is not possible to have elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 such that E_1 has multiplicative reduction at v , E_2 has additive reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$.*

Proof. First, suppose that E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at v . Let $F' := F_v(\mu_p)$ and $G'' = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F'_{\text{cyc},w})$. Since F' is unramified outside p , the reduction type at v does not change for E_1 and E_2 over F' [Si, Chapter 7, Proposition 5.4]. Since $p \geq 5$, from [HM, Proposition 5.1] we have $E_2[p^\infty](F_v(\mu_{p^\infty})) = 0$ hence $E_2(F'_{\text{cyc},w})[p] = 0$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_1,p}|_{G''} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies $E_1(F'_{\text{cyc},w})[p] \neq 0$, a contradiction to the fact that $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Hence it is not possible to have elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 with one having split multiplicative reduction and other having additive reduction at v .

Next, let E_1 have non-split multiplicative reduction at v and F'' be the quadratic, unramified extension of F such that E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at v over F'' . The reduction type of E_2 at v does not change since F'' is unramified. However, the arguments in the preceding paragraph shows that it is not possible. Hence there does not exist elliptic curves with the above reduction types at v . $\square$

Lemma 2.6 (Split). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E be an elliptic curve with split multiplicative reduction at v . From the representation (2.4), we have*

$$\rho_{E,p}|_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then $\sigma_E^w = 0$ if $\epsilon_p \neq 1$ otherwise $\sigma_E^w = 1$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon_p \neq 1$ i.e. $\mu_p \not\subseteq F_v$. Recall that $T_p(E)$ denote the Tate module of E and $V_p(E) = T_p(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the representation corresponding to (2.6). Then $V_p(E)/T_p(E) \cong E[p^\infty]$. Since $\rho_{E,p}$ is ramified, we have $* \neq 0$ which implies $H^0(G, V_p(E)) = 0$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_p(E) \longrightarrow V_p(E) \longrightarrow E[p^\infty] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let J be the extension $F_v(E[p^\infty])$ of F_v obtained by adjoining the coordinates of points in $E[p^\infty]$. For $G' = \text{Gal}(J/F_{\text{cyc},w})$, we have

$$H^0(G', V_p(E)) \longrightarrow H^0(G', E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow H^1(G', T_p(E)).$$

Since $H^0(G', V_p(E)) = H^0(G, V_p(E)) = 0$, $H^1(G', T_p(E))$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and $H^0(G', E[p^\infty])$ is a torsion $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module, we have $H^0(G, E[p^\infty]) = H^0(G', E[p^\infty])$ to be finite. Now by arguments similar to the proof of [Sh, Lemma 3.5], we get $H^1(G, E[p^\infty]) = 0$ i.e. $\sigma_E^w = 0$. For $\epsilon_p = 1$ i.e. $\mu_p \subseteq F_v$, the proof of $\sigma_E^w = 1$ is given in [Sh, Lemma 3.3].

□

Lemma 2.7 (Non-split). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E be an elliptic curve with non-split multiplicative reduction at v . Then $\sigma_E^w = 0$ if $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$ otherwise $\sigma_E^w = 1$.*

Proof. From the representation (2.5), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$. It now follows that $H^0(G, E[p]) = 0$ i.e. $H^0(G, E[p^\infty]) = 0$. Now by an argument similar to the proof of [Sh, Lemma 3.5], we have $H^1(G, E[p^\infty]) = 0$ i.e. $\sigma_E^w = 0$. Next assume $\epsilon_p = \delta$. Since δ is a quadratic character, from the representation (2.4) we have

$$\rho_{E,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.8)$$

As δ is non-trivial, the above representation gives $1 = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^0(G, V_p(E)) = \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^0(G, E[p^\infty])$. Finally, from [Gr, Proposition 2] we have $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^0(G, E[p^\infty]) = \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1(G, E[p^\infty])$ which implies $\sigma_E^w = 1$.

□

Lemma 2.8 (Split – Non-split). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves such that E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at v , E_2 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, then $\sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \sigma_{E_2}^w + 1 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon_p = \delta$. Since δ is non-trivial, we have $\epsilon_p \neq 1$. Then the claim follows from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. Next assume $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$. From the representation (2.5), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_1,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_2,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta$$

Since $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, we have $(\text{Trace } \bar{\rho}_{E_1,p})(\text{Frob}_v) = (\text{Trace } \bar{\rho}_{E_2,p})(\text{Frob}_v)$. As δ is non-trivial, it follows that $\epsilon_p(\text{Frob}_v) = -1$. Therefore ϵ_p is also a quadratic character and $[F_v(\mu_p) : F_v] = 2$. Consider the field $F' := F_v(\mu_p)$ and $G'' := \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F'_{cyc,w})$. Then from the representation (2.5), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_1,p} |_{G''} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_2,p} |_{G''} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta \quad (2.10)$$

Since $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$ and $[F' : F_v] = 2$, we have $\delta|_{F'} \neq 1$. Therefore the representations (2.9) and (2.10) imply $E_1(F'_{cyc,w})[p] \neq 0$ but $E_2(F'_{cyc,w})[p] = 0$ which contradicts the fact that $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Hence the case $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$ is not possible.

□

Remark 2.9. Let $p \geq 5$ and l be a finite prime of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $l \nmid p$. Since $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$, it is not possible to have elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 such that E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at l , E_2 has non-split multiplicative reduction at l and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$.

Lemma 2.10 (Good – Split). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over F such that E_1 has good reduction at v , E_2 has split multiplicative reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, then $\sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \sigma_{E_2}^w + 1 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon_p = 1$ i.e. $\mu_p \subseteq F_v$. Then the claim follows from [Sh, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6]. Next assume $\epsilon_p \neq 1$. From Lemma 2.6, we have $\sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$. Consider the field $F' := F_v(\mu_p)$ and $G'' := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F'_{cyc,w})$. Then from the representation (2.5), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_2,p} |_{G''} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies $E_2(F'_{cyc,w})[p] \neq 0$ and hence $E_1(F'_{cyc,w})[p] \neq 0$. It follows from [HM, Proposition 5.1] that $E_1(F'_{cyc,w})[p] \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_1,p} |_{G''} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since order of $\text{Gal}(F'_{cyc,w}/F_{cyc,w})$ is prime to p , $E_1[p]$ is a semisimple G -module i.e.

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_1,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\epsilon_p \neq 1$, it now follows that $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty])[p] = H^0(G, E_1[p]) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Further as $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty])$ is p -divisible [EPW, Lemma 4.1.2], we have $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty]) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Finally, from [Gr, Proposition 2] we have $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty]) = \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1(G, E_1[p^\infty])$ which implies $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11 (Good – Non-split). *Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \nmid p$ and w be a prime of F_{cyc} with $w \mid v$. Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves such that E_1 has good reduction at v , E_2 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, then $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$ in the representation (2.5). Then $H^0(G, E_2[p^\infty]) = 0$. It follows from the exact sequence (2.1) that $H^1(G, E_2[p]) \cong H^1(G, E_2[p^\infty])[p]$. Since E_1 has good reduction at v , by similar arguments as in Lemma 2.1 we have $H^1(G, E_1[p]) \cong H^1(G, E_1[p^\infty])[p]$. Therefore $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ implies $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$. Next assume $\epsilon_p = \delta$. Then

$$\bar{\rho}_{E_2,p} |_G \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \psi \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies $H^0(G, E_2[p]) \neq 0$. Since $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$, we have $H^0(G, E_1[p]) \neq 0$. Also δ is non-trivial implies $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty])[p] = H^0(G, E_1[p]) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty])$ is divisible [EPW, Lemma 4.1.2], we have $H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty]) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Finally, by [Gr, Proposition 2] we have $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^0(G, E_1[p^\infty]) = \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1(G, E_1[p^\infty])$ from which it follows that $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$. Also from Lemma 2.7, we have $\sigma_{E_2}^w = 1$. $\square$

3. p -SELMER RANK FOR ORDINARY ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let p be an odd prime, F be a number field and F_{cyc}/F denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F with n -th layer F_n . We put $\Gamma_n := \text{Gal}(F_n/F)$ and $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(F_{cyc}/F)$. Then $\Gamma_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. The Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, where the isomorphism is given by identifying $1 + T$ with a topological generator of Γ .

Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good, ordinary reduction at p . Let N_i denote the conductor of E_i over F and $\overline{N}_i$ denote the prime to p -part of the conductor of the Galois

module $E_i[p]$ over F for $i = 1, 2$. Let Σ be the finite set of primes of F containing the primes of bad reduction of E_1 and E_2 , the infinite primes and the primes above p . Put,

$$\Sigma_0 := \{v \in \Sigma \mid v \mid N_1/\overline{N_1} \text{ or } v \mid N_2/\overline{N_2}\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let S_{E_i} denote the set of primes $v \in \Sigma_0$ such that E_i has split multiplicative reduction at v and $s_p(E_i/F)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of the Pontryagin dual of $\text{Sel}_p(E_i/F)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then in [Sh], the author has studied the variation of the parity of the p -Selmer ranks of E_1 and E_2 over F . He also proved the following.

Theorem 3.1. [Sh, Theorem 1.1] *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good and ordinary reduction at an odd prime p . We further assume that the following hold*

- (a) $E_1[p]$ is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -module.
 - (b) $\mu_p \subseteq F$ where μ_p denotes the group of p -th roots of unity.
 - (c) $\text{Sel}_p(E_1/F_{\text{cyc}})[p]$ is finite where F_{cyc} denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F .
- If E_1 and E_2 are congruent at the prime p then*

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| \pmod{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

If E_1 and E_2 are congruent at p , then the assumption (a) and (c) hold for E_1 if and only if the same hold for E_2 . Let the Pontryagin dual $X(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ of $\text{Sel}(E[p^\infty]/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is a finitely generated torsion Λ -module. Then by the structure theorem of finitely generated Λ -modules, one has a pseudo isomorphism

$$X(E/F_{\text{cyc}}) \sim (\oplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i(T)^{a_i})) \oplus (\oplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/(p^{\mu_E^{(j)}}))$$

where $s, t, a_i, \mu_E^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}$, f_i is distinguished and irreducible for all i . Since, the a_i 's and the $\mu_E^{(j)}$'s are positive integers, one can define the algebraic Iwasawa invariants λ_E and μ_E by

$$\lambda_E = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i \deg(f_i(T)), \quad \mu_E = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_E^{(j)}.$$

In the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and p is a prime of ordinary reduction for E , it is a conjecture of Greenberg that there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ -isogenous elliptic curve E' such that $\mu_{E'} = 0$ [Gr1, Conjecture 1.11]. In particular, if $E[p]$ is irreducible as a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, it is believed that $\mu_E = 0$. However, over general number fields none of the elliptic curves isogenous to E may have μ -invariant equal to zero [Dr] and also there are no examples known for which assumption (a) holds but assumption (c) does not hold.

Next assume $\mu_p \not\subseteq F$. Then for $p = 3$, we have the following counterexamples to the above theorem.

Example 1. Consider the following elliptic curves:

$$E_1 : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20, \quad (11a1)$$

$$E_2 : y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 2x - 7, \quad (121c1)$$

The labels in the parentheses above denote the Cremona number of the elliptic curves. E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at 11 while E_2 has additive reduction at 11. Both the curves have λ -invariant 0 and $E_1[3] \cong E_2[3]$. Hence (3.2) does not hold.

Example 2. Consider the following elliptic curves which are obtained by twisting the curves in the above example by a quadratic character associated to the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})/\mathbb{Q}$.

$$E_3 : y^2 = x^3 - x^2 - 4133x + 186637, \quad (4400m2)$$

$$E_4 : y^2 = x^3 - x^2 - 1008x + 48512, \quad (48400ch1)$$

E_3 has non-split multiplicative reduction at 11 and additive reduction at 2,5 while E_4 has additive reduction at 2,5,11. E_3 and E_4 have λ -invariant 0 and 1 respectively and $E_3[3] \cong E_4[3]$. Hence (3.2) does not hold. Nevertheless, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good and ordinary reduction at an odd prime p . We further assume the following.*

(a) $E_1[p]$ is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -module.

(b) $\text{Sel}_p(E_1/F_{\text{cyc}})[p]$ is finite.

If $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ as $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ modules then

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2} \quad (3.3)$$

where T denotes the set of primes v of F in Σ_0 such that $\mu_p \not\subset F_v$, one of the elliptic curves has multiplicative reduction and other has additive reduction at v .

Proof. Under the assumptions (a) and (b), from [Sh, Equations 8 and 10] we have $\lambda_{E_1}^{\Sigma_0} = \lambda_{E_2}^{\Sigma_0}$. For a prime $v \nmid p$ of F , let $\tau_{E_1}^v$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of the Pontryagin dual of $\mathcal{H}_v(F_{\text{cyc}}, E_1)$ where

$$\mathcal{H}_v(F_{\text{cyc}}, E_1) := \prod_{w|v} H^1(F_{\text{cyc},w}, E_1[p^\infty]).$$

From [Sh, Lemma 3.1], we have $\lambda_{E_i}^{\Sigma_0} = \lambda_{E_i} + \sum_{v \in \Sigma_0} \tau_{E_i}^v$, $i = 1, 2$. Now for each $v \in \Sigma_0$, choose a prime w of F_{cyc} dividing v . Let P be the set consisting of all such w . Then [Sh, Lemma 3.2] implies

$$\lambda_{E_i}^{\Sigma_0} \equiv \lambda_{E_i} + \sum_{w \in P} \sigma_{E_i}^w \pmod{2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_{E_1} + \sum_{w \in P} \sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \lambda_{E_2} + \sum_{w \in P} \sigma_{E_2}^w \pmod{2}.$$

Let $v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F such that E_1 and E_2 have same reduction type at v . Then Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.6 and 2.7 imply $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$. Lemma 2.3 implies that it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has good reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Next suppose E_1 has split multiplicative reduction and E_2 has either good or non-split multiplicative reduction at v , then Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.10 imply $\sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \sigma_{E_2}^w + 1 \pmod{2}$. Also if E_1 has good reduction and E_2 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v , then $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$ follows from Lemma 2.11.

Let $p \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.5, it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Therefore assume $p = 3$, E_1 has split multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . From Lemma 2.6, we have $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 0$. Since $\sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$, we have $\sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \sigma_{E_2}^w + 1 \pmod{2}$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$. Next, assume E_1 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v . We have $\epsilon_p \neq \delta$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $\epsilon_p = \delta$ since $p = 3$ and there exists a unique unramified quadratic character. Hence Lemma 2.7 implies $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 0$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$, else $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$. Since $\sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$, we have $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $\sigma_{E_1}^w \equiv \sigma_{E_2}^w + 1 \pmod{2}$.

From the above comparisons of $\sigma_{E_i}^w$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\lambda_{E_1} + |S_{E_1}| \equiv \lambda_{E_2} + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2}.$$

By [Gr1, Proposition 3.10], we have $s_p(E_i/F) \equiv \lambda_{E_i} \pmod{2}$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2}.$$

□

4. p -SELMER RANK FOR SUPERSINGULAR ELLIPTIC CURVES

Suppose E be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good supersingular reduction at p and $a_p(E) := 1 + p - \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. The assumption that $a_p(E) = 0$ is necessary to apply some crucial results of Kim [Ki1]. However for $p \geq 5$, E is supersingular at p if and only if $a_p(E) = 0$ [Si, Exercise 5.10(b)]. Throughout this section, let F be a number field such that p splits completely over F .

Definition 4.1. [Ko, Section 2, Plus/Minus Selmer group] For $n \geq 0$, we define $\text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/F_n)$ to be the kernel of

$$\mathbf{f}_n : H^1(F_n, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_v \frac{H^1(F_{n,v}, E[p^\infty])}{E^\pm(F_{n,v}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \quad (4.1)$$

and $\text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/F_{\text{cyc}}) := \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/F_n)$. Here v ranges over all places of F_n , $F_{n,v}$ is the completion of F_n at v and the map is induced by the restrictions of the Galois cohomology groups. For notational convenience, let $F_{-1,v} = F_{0,v} = F_v$. Then

$$E^+(F_{n,v}) := \{P \in E(F_{n,v}) \mid \text{Tr}_{n/m+1} P \in E(F_{m,v}) \text{ for even } m \ (0 \leq m < n)\}$$

$$E^-(F_{n,v}) := \{P \in E(F_{n,v}) \mid \text{Tr}_{n/m+1} P \in E(F_{m,v}) \text{ for odd } m \ (-1 \leq m < n)\}$$

where $\text{Tr}_{n/m} : E(F_{n,v}) \longrightarrow E(F_{m,v})$ denotes the trace map for $n \geq m$.

Remark 4.2. For $n = 0$, we have $E^-(F_v) = E(F_v)$ hence $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F) = \text{Sel}_p(E/F)$.

Let E_1 and E_2 are two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good, supersingular reduction at an odd prime p and $a_p(E_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$. Henceforth we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 4.3. For $E = E_1$ or E_2

- (i) $E[p]$ is an irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -module.
- (ii) $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})[p]$ is a finite group.

For $F = \mathbb{Q}$, assumption (i) is true [Se] and assumption (ii) is conjectured to be true [Pe, Conjecture 7.1]. If assumption (ii) holds, then the Pontryagin dual $X^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ of $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module and therefore also a Λ -torsion module. Since p splits completely over $F/\mathbb{Q}$, the Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is p -divisible [Ki2, Theorem 3.14].

Next we define the *imprimitive* plus/minus Selmer group for Σ_0 in (3.1). Recall that Σ is a finite set of primes of F containing primes of bad reduction of E , the primes dividing p and infinite primes. For $l \in \Sigma$ with $l \nmid p$, we define a conventional local condition

$$\mathcal{H}_l^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty]) := \prod_{\eta|l} \frac{H^1(F_{\text{cyc},\eta}, E[p^\infty])}{E(F_{\text{cyc},\eta}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

Note that $E(F_{\text{cyc},\eta}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = 0$ if η is not above p . We will also let $\mathcal{H}_l(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty])$ denote $\mathcal{H}_l^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty])$ to emphasize $\mathcal{H}_l^+ = \mathcal{H}_l^-$ when $l \nmid p$. For $v \mid p$, put

$$\mathcal{H}_v^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty]) := \frac{H^1(F_{\text{cyc},v}, E[p^\infty])}{E^\pm(F_{\text{cyc},v}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

Definition 4.4. [Ki1, Page 185]

$$\text{Sel}_p^{\Sigma_0, \pm}(E/F_{\text{cyc}}) = \text{Ker} \left(H^1(F_\Sigma/F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_{l \in \Sigma - \Sigma_0} \mathcal{H}_l^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty]) \right).$$

Here F_Σ is the maximal extension of F unramified outside Σ . We mention that when Σ_0 is empty, the imprimitive plus/minus Selmer group defined above is same as the usual plus/minus Selmer group defined in (4.1). These plus/minus Selmer groups fit into the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_p^{\Sigma_0, -}(E/F_{\text{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in \Sigma_0} \mathcal{H}_v(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The exactness of the above sequence is proven for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and a larger set Σ_0 in [Ki1, Corollary 2.5]. Under the Assumption 4.3(ii) that $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is Λ -cotorsion, similar assertion holds for our chosen F and Σ_0 [Sh, Section 2, Page 3259]. It is well known that $\mathcal{H}_v(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p^\infty])$ is a divisible group for every finite prime v of F [Gr1, Lemma 4.5 and successive paragraph]. Hence $\text{Sel}_p^{\Sigma_0, -}(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is a divisible group. Therefore

$$\lambda_E^{\Sigma_0, -} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} X^{\Sigma_0, -}(E/F_{\text{cyc}})/p = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \text{Sel}_p^{\Sigma_0, -}(E/F_{\text{cyc}})[p].$$

Next we define a "plus/minus imprimitive Selmer" group on $E[p]$. For $l \nmid p$, we let

$$\mathcal{H}_l^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p]) = \prod_{\eta|l} H^1(F_{\text{cyc}, \eta}, E[p]) / H_{un}^1(F_{\text{cyc}, \eta}, E[p])$$

where

$$H_{un}^1(F_{\text{cyc}, \eta}, E[p]) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ker} (H^1(F_{n, l}, E[p]) \longrightarrow H^1(I_{n, l}, E[p]))$$

and $I_{n, l}$ denotes the inertia group of the decomposition group of $F_{n, l}$. We also let $\mathcal{H}_l$ denote $\mathcal{H}_l^\pm$ to emphasize $\mathcal{H}_l^+ = \mathcal{H}_l^-$ when $l \neq p$. For $v|p$, we let

$$\mathcal{H}_v^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p]) = H^1(F_{\text{cyc}, v}, E[p]) / (E^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}, v})/pE^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}, v})).$$

Definition 4.5. [Ki1, Definition 2.7]

$$\text{Sel}^{\Sigma_0, \pm}(E[p]/F_{\text{cyc}}) = \text{Ker} \left(H^1(F_\Sigma/F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p]) \longrightarrow \prod_{l \in \Sigma - \Sigma_0} \mathcal{H}_l^\pm(F_{\text{cyc}}, E[p]) \right).$$

Using analogous arguments from [Sh, Section 2, Page 3259], one can extend [Ki1, Proposition 2.10] for our chosen F and Σ_0 to prove that $\text{Sel}^{\Sigma_0, -}(E[p]/F_{\text{cyc}}) \cong \text{Sel}_p^{\Sigma_0, -}(E/F_{\text{cyc}})[p]$. Hence

$$\lambda_E^{\Sigma_0, -} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \text{Sel}^{\Sigma_0, -}(E[p]/F_{\text{cyc}}).$$

Now suppose $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Let $v \mid p$ be a finite prime of F and $w \mid v$ be a prime of F_{cyc} . Since p splits completely over $F/\mathbb{Q}$, we have $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Therefore from [Ki1, Proposition 2.9], it follows that

$$\lambda_{E_1}^{\Sigma_0, -} = \lambda_{E_2}^{\Sigma_0, -}.$$

Since Assumption 4.3(ii) implies $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is Λ -cotorsion, from [Sh, Lemma 3.1] we have

$$\lambda_{E_1}^{\Sigma_0, -} = \lambda_{E_1}^- + \sum_{v \in \Sigma_0} \tau_{E_1}^v \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \quad (4.3)$$

To prove our theorem comparing Selmer rank of supersingular elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 at primes dividing p , we need the following.

Theorem 4.6. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F with good, supersingular reduction at primes dividing p . Assume that $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$ is Λ -cotorsion. Then*

$$\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F)) \equiv \lambda_E^-(\text{mod } 2)$$

where λ_E^- denotes the Iwasawa λ -invariant of the Pontryagin dual of $\text{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\text{cyc}})$.

The proof of the above theorem is analogous to the proof of a similar theorem proved by Guo for ordinary elliptic curves at primes dividing p [Gu1]. To prove his result in ordinary case, Guo first proves the following.

Theorem 4.7. *For every elliptic curve E defined over F , we have a nondegenerate, skew-symmetric pairing*

$$\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/F) \times \mathrm{Sel}_p(E/F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

whose kernel on either side is precisely the maximal divisible subgroup.

Let v be a finite prime of F with $v \mid p$ and D be a divisible subgroup of $H^1(F_v, E[p^\infty])$. Define

$$J_n = \mathrm{Ker} \{H^1(F_v, E[p^n]) \longrightarrow H^1(F_v, E[p^\infty])/D\}.$$

Then from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E[p^r] \rightarrow E[p^{r+s}] \xrightarrow{p^r} E[p^s] \rightarrow 0$, we have the induced exact sequence,

$$J_r \rightarrow J_{r+s} \rightarrow J_s \longrightarrow 0.$$

for r, s large [Gu1, Proposition 1]. This is one of the key observations of Guo used in the proof of the above theorem. He considers D to be equal to $E(F_v) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. By replacing $D = E(F_v) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $D = E^-(F_v) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ for every prime $v \mid p$ and using arguments similar to [Gu1], we obtain the following.

Theorem 4.8. *For every elliptic curve E defined over F with good and supersingular reduction at primes dividing p , we have a nondegenerate, skew-symmetric pairing*

$$\mathrm{Sel}_p^-(E/F) \times \mathrm{Sel}_p^-(E/F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

whose kernel on either side is precisely the maximal divisible subgroup.

The proofs of nondegeneracy and skew-symmetric are included in [Gu, Sections 3.3 & 3.4]. In fact, for an arbitrary p -ordinary Galois representation V with Tate module T , Guo derived the skew-symmetric property from the existence of a G_F -module isomorphism

$$\eta : A \longrightarrow A^* = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \text{ where } A := V/T$$

such that $(\eta a)(a) = 0$ for $a \in A$. This isomorphism is eventually used to get a pairing

$$e_s : A_s \times A_s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \text{ where } A_s := A[p^s]$$

such that $e_s(a, a') = -e_s(a', a)$. For elliptic curves such a pairing, e_s , exists already from the Weil pairing.

Now Theorem 4.6 can be proved easily using Theorem 4.8, the fact that $E[p^\infty](F_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is finite [Ri, Theorem 1] and methods of [Gu1] (see also [Gu1, Lemmas 3 and 6, Proposition 6]). Recall that for an elliptic curve E defined over F , $s_p(E/F)$ denotes the rank of the Pontryagin dual of $\mathrm{Sel}_p(E/F)$. Then we have the following immediate corollary to Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.2.

Corollary 4.9. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F with good, supersingular reduction at primes dividing p . Assume that $\mathrm{Sel}_p^-(E/F_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is Λ -cotorsion. Then*

$$s_p(E/F) \equiv \lambda_E^-(\mathrm{mod} \ 2)$$

Theorem 4.10. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good and supersingular reduction at an odd prime p . We further assume the following.*

- (a) $E_1[p]$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -module.
- (b) $\mathrm{Sel}_p^-(E_1/F_{\mathrm{cyc}})[p]$ is finite.
- (c) p splits completely over F .

If $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ as $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ modules then

$$s_p(E_1/F) + |S_{E_1}| \equiv s_p(E_2/F) + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Using (4.3) and similar arguments as in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\lambda_{E_1}^- + |S_{E_1}| \equiv \lambda_{E_2}^- + |S_{E_2}| + |T| \pmod{2}.$$

Now the theorem follows from Corollary 4.9. $\square$

For a slightly different formula (valid only over $\mathbb{Q}$) comparing p -Selmer rank of supersingular elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 , see [Ha].

5. ROOT NUMBERS

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F with good reduction at a prime v of F and V be the p -adic Galois representation attached to E . Let $\varepsilon(V)$ denote the local epsilon-factor associated to V at v . Then from [Do] and [Ne1, Proposition 2.2.1], we have $\varepsilon(V) = \pm 1$. Following [Do], the local root number, $w(E/F_v)$, is given by

$$w(E/F_v) := \frac{\varepsilon(V)}{|\varepsilon(V)|} = \varepsilon(V) = \pm 1. \quad (5.1)$$

Remark 5.1. [Do, Section 3.4] $w(E/F_v) = -1$ when v is Archimedean or when E/F_v has split multiplicative reduction, and $w(E/F_v) = 1$ when E/F_v has good or non-split multiplicative reduction.

The global root number of an elliptic curve E defined over F is the product of the local root numbers over all places of F ,

$$w(E/F) := \prod_v w(E/F_v).$$

Thus, if E/F is semistable, the global root number, $w(E/F)$, is given by

$$w(E/F) := \prod_v w(E/F_v) = (-1)^{\#\{v \mid \infty \text{ in } F\} + \#\{v \mid \text{split mult. for } E/F\}} \quad (5.2)$$

Let $v \nmid p$. Then we recall the modified local constant, $\varepsilon_0(V)$, as defined by Deligne ([De, (5.1)] and [Ne3, Page 6]) to be

$$\varepsilon_0(V) = \varepsilon(V) \det(-\text{Frob}_v \mid V^{I_v}) \quad (5.3)$$

where the value of $\varepsilon_0(V) \bmod p$ depends only on the residual representation $(T_p E)/p \cong E[p]$ [De, Theorem 6.5]. In the next few lemmas, we compare the global and local root number of two congruent elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 with different reduction types at v .

Theorem 5.2. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two semistable elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at an odd prime p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{|S_{E_1}| - |S_{E_2}|}$$

Proof. From (5.2), we have

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{\#\{v \mid \text{split mult. for } E_1/F\} - \#\{v \mid \text{split mult. for } E_2/F\}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Let $v \in \{\text{Set of primes of split multiplicative reduction of } E_1/F\} \setminus S_{E_1}$. Therefore $v \nmid N_1/\overline{N_1}$. Since E_1 is semistable, we have $(N_1/\overline{N_1}, \overline{N_1}) = 1$ which implies $v \mid \overline{N_1}$. It is clear that $\overline{N_1} = \overline{N_2}$ as $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Since E_2 is also semistable, we have $v \nmid N_2/\overline{N_2}$ i.e. $v \notin S_{E_2}$.

Note that $H^0(F_{\text{cyc},w}, E_i[p^\infty]), i = 1, 2$ is divisible for every prime $w \mid v$ of F_{cyc} [Sh, Lemma 2.1]. It follows from (2.1) that $H^1(G, E_i[p]) \cong H^1(G, E_i[p^\infty])[p]$. Then the isomorphism $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ gives $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$. Now from Section 2, the parity of local Iwasawa invariants implies that v is also a prime of split multiplicative reduction of E_2/F . Hence we have $v \in \{\text{Set of primes of split multiplicative reduction of } E_2/F\} \setminus S_{E_2}$ which implies

$$\#\{v \text{ split mult. for } E_1/F\} - |S_{E_1}| = \#\{v \text{ split mult. for } E_2/F\} - |S_{E_2}|.$$

Therefore the equality in (5.4) reduces to $\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{|S_{E_1}| - |S_{E_2}|}$.

□

Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we are only left with the following two cases.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F . Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves with additive reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then $w(E_1/F_v) = w(E_2/F_v)$.*

Proof. Let V_i be the Galois representation corresponding to $E_i, i = 1, 2$. Since $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ and the value of $\varepsilon_0(V_i) \bmod p$ depends only on the residual representation $E_i[p]$, we have $\varepsilon_0(V_1) \equiv \varepsilon_0(V_2) \bmod p$. Also E_i have additive reduction at v implies $V_i^{I_v} = 0$ [Si1, Theorem 4.10.2]. Therefore $\varepsilon_0(V_i) = \varepsilon(V_i), i = 1, 2$. Finally (5.1), (5.3) and $\varepsilon_0(V_1) \equiv \varepsilon_0(V_2) \bmod p$ together imply $w(E_1/F_v) = w(E_2/F_v)$.

□

Lemma 5.4. *Let $p = 3, v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F . Suppose E_1 and E_2 are two elliptic curves such that E_1 has multiplicative reduction at v, E_2 has additive reduction at v and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then $w(E_2/F_v) = 1$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $w(E_2/F_v) = -1$.*

Proof. Let $\mu_p \subset F_v$ which implies ϵ_p is trivial. Consider E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at v . From the representation (2.4), we have $V_1^{I_v} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\epsilon_p)$ where V_i is the Galois representation corresponding to $E_i, i = 1, 2$. Therefore $\det(-\text{Frob}_v \mid V_1^{I_v}) = -\epsilon_p(\text{Frob}_v) \equiv -1 \bmod p$. Next, let E_1 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v . From the representation (2.4), we have $V_1^{I_v} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\epsilon_p \otimes \delta)$. Therefore $\det(-\text{Frob}_v \mid V_1^{I_v}) = -\epsilon_p(\text{Frob}_v) \delta(\text{Frob}_v) \equiv 1 \bmod p$, since δ is non-trivial.

Since $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$ and the value of $\varepsilon_0(V_i) \bmod p$ depends only on the residual representation $E_i[p]$, we have $\varepsilon_0(V_1) \equiv \varepsilon_0(V_2) \bmod p$. Now E_2 have additive reduction implies $V_2^{I_v} = 0$. Therefore $\varepsilon_0(V_2) = \varepsilon(V_2)$. Finally from (5.1), (5.3) and the fact that $\varepsilon_0(V_1) \equiv \varepsilon_0(V_2) \bmod p$, we have $w(E_2/F_v) = 1$.

Suppose $\mu_p \not\subset F_v$. Then ϵ_p is non-trivial and $\epsilon_p(\text{Frob}_v) \equiv -1 \bmod p$. Now by similar arguments as above, we have $w(E_2/F_v) = -1$.

□

Theorem 5.5. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two semistable elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at an odd prime p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{|S_{E_1}| - |S_{E_2}| + |T|}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. When E_1 and E_2 are two semistable elliptic curves, we have already proved in Theorem 5.2 that (5.5) holds. Next assume E_1 and E_2 have additive reduction at v . Using Lemma 5.3, we have $w(E_1/F_v) = w(E_2/F_v)$. Also Lemma 2.3 implies that it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has good reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v .

Let $p \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.5, it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Hence assume $p = 3, E_1$ has multiplicative reduction

and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Then $w(E_1/F_v) = -1$ if E_1 has split multiplicative reduction at v otherwise $w(E_1/F_v) = 1$. From Lemma 5.4, we have $w(E_2/F_v) = 1$ if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ else $w(E_2/F_v) = -1$. Therefore if E_1 has split (respectively non-split) multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v , then $w(E_1/F_v)/w(E_2/F_v) = -1$ (respectively 1) if $\mu_p \subset F_v$ otherwise $w(E_1/F_v)/w(E_2/F_v) = 1$ (respectively -1).

From the above comparisons of $w(E_i/F_v)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = (-1)^{|S_{E_1}| - |S_{E_2}| + |T|}.$$

□

Corollary 5.6. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 (E_i have ordinary reduction at p) or Theorem 4.10 (E_i have supersingular reduction at p), we have*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F)}{w(E_2/F)} = \frac{(-1)^{s_p(E_1/F)}}{(-1)^{s_p(E_2/F)}}$$

The above corollary implies that if the p -parity conjecture is true for one of the congruent elliptic curves then it is also true for the other elliptic curve.

Theorem 5.7. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at an odd prime p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Let $v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F and $w \mid v$ be a prime of F_{cyc} . Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F_v)}{w(E_2/F_v)} = (-1)^{\sigma_{E_1}^w - \sigma_{E_2}^w}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Let E_1 and E_2 have good reduction at v . Then from Lemma 2.1, we have $\sigma_{E_1}^w = \sigma_{E_2}^w$. Also $w(E_1/F_v) = w(E_2/F_v) = 1$. Hence (5.6) holds. Next assume E_1 and E_2 have additive reduction at v . From Lemmas 2.2 and 5.3, we have $\sigma_{E_i}^w = 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and $w(E_1/F_v) = w(E_2/F_v)$ respectively. Therefore 5.6 holds. Lemma 2.3 implies that it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has good reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v .

Let $p \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.5, it is not possible to have a prime v such that E_1 has multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Hence assume $p = 3$, E_1 has multiplicative reduction and E_2 has additive reduction at v . Now $\sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$ follows from Lemma 2.2. Let $\mu_p \subset F_v$. Then $\epsilon_p = 1$ which implies $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$ if E_1 has split multiplicative reduction and $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 0$ if E_1 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v (see Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7). Therefore $\sigma_{E_1}^w - \sigma_{E_2}^w = 1$ if E_1 has split multiplicative reduction and $\sigma_{E_1}^w - \sigma_{E_2}^w = 0$ if E_1 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v . From Lemma 5.4, we have $w(E_2/F_v) = 1$. Hence (5.6) holds.

Let $\mu_p \not\subset F_v$. Since $p = 3$ and there exists a unique unramified quadratic character, we have $\epsilon_p = \delta$ which implies $\sigma_{E_1}^w = 1$ if E_1 has non-split multiplicative reduction at v (see Lemma 2.7). Also from Lemma 5.4, we have $w(E_2/F_v) = -1$. Hence (5.6) holds in this case also.

□

Corollary 5.8. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with good reduction at an odd prime p and $E_1[p] \cong E_2[p]$. Let $v \nmid p$ be a finite prime of F and $w \mid v$ be a prime of F_{cyc} . Then*

$$\frac{w(E_1/F_v)}{w(E_2/F_v)} = (-1)^{\tau_{E_1}^v - \tau_{E_2}^v}.$$

Proof. From [Sh, Lemma 3.2], we have $\tau_{E_i}^v \equiv \sigma_{E_i}^w \pmod{2}$, $i = 1, 2$. This implies $\tau_{E_1}^v - \tau_{E_2}^v \equiv \sigma_{E_1}^w - \sigma_{E_2}^w \pmod{2}$. Now the claim follows from (5.6).

□

REFERENCES

- [Bi] B. J. Birch, *Conjectures concerning elliptic curves*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. VIII (1965), 106-112.
- [Ch] Aribam Chandrakant Sharma, *Iwasawa invariants for the False-Tate extension and congruences between modular forms*, Journal of Number Theory 129 (2009), 1893-1911.
- [DD] Tim Dokchitser & Vladimir Dokchitser, *On the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer quotients modulo squares*, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 1, 567-596.
- [DD1] ———, *Root numbers and parity of ranks of elliptic curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 658 (2011), 39-64.
- [DDT] H. Darmon, F. Diamond & R. Taylor, *Fermat's Last Theorem*, Current Developments in Mathematics 1 (1995), 1-157.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Les constantes des equations fonctionnelles des fonctions L*, Modular functions of one variable II (Antwerp, 1972), Lect. Notes in Math. 349, 501-597.
- [Do] Tim Dokchitser, *Notes on the parity conjecture*, September 2010, CRM Barcelona Advanced Courses in Mathematics, "Elliptic Curves, Hilbert Modular Forms and Galois Deformations", Birkhauser, 2013.
- [Dr] Michael J. Drinen, *Iwasawa mu-invariants of Selmer groups*, Ph.D. Thesis (1999), University of Washington.
- [EPW] M. Emerton, R. Pollack & T. Weston, *Variation of Iwasawa invariants in Hida families*, Invent. Math. 163(3) (2006), 523-580.
- [Gr] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa Theory for p-adic representations*, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 17 (1989), 97-137.
- [Gr1] ———, *Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 1716 (1999), 51-144.
- [Gu] Li Guo, *On a generalization of Tate dualities with application to Iwasawa theory*, Ph.D. Thesis (1992), University of Washington.
- [Gu1] ———, *On a generalization of Tate dualities with application to Iwasawa theory*, Compos. Math. 85(2) (1993), 125-161.
- [GV] R. Greenberg & V. Vatsal, *On the Iwasawa invariants of elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. 142 (2000), 17-63.
- [Ha] J. Hatley, *Rank parity for congruent supersingular elliptic curves*, to appear in Proceedings of the AMS.
- [HM] Y. Hachimori & K. Matsuno, *An analogue of Kida's formula for Selmer groups of elliptic curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. 8(3) (1999), 581-601.
- [Ki] B. D. Kim, *The Parity Theorem of Elliptic Curves at Primes with Supersingular Reduction*, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 47-72.
- [Ki1] ———, *The Iwasawa invariants of the plus/minus Selmer groups*, Asian J. Math. 13(2) (2009), 181-190.
- [Ki2] ———, *The plus/minus Selmer groups for supersingular primes*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 95 (2013), 189-200.
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Invent. Math. 152(1) (2003), 1-36.
- [Mo] P. Monsky, *Generalizing the Birch-Stephens theorem. I: Modular curves*, Math. Z., 221 (1996), 415-420.
- [MR] B. Mazur & K. Rubin, *Finding large Selmer rank via an arithmetic theory of local constants*, Ann. of Math. 166(2) 166 (2007), 581-614.
- [Ne] J. Nekovar, *Selmer complexes*, Asterisque 310 (2006).
- [Ne1] ———, *On the parity of ranks of Selmer groups III*, Doc. Math. 12 (2007), 243-274. Erratum: Doc. Math. 14 (2009), 191-194.
- [Ne2] ———, *On the parity of ranks of Selmer groups IV*, Compos. Math. 145(6) (2009), 1351-1359.
- [Ne3] ———, *Compatibility of arithmetic and algebraic local constants (the case $l \neq p$)*, Compos. Math. 151(9) (2015), 1626-1646.
- [Pe] B. Perrin-Riou, *Arithmetique des courbes elliptiques a reduction supersinguliere en p*, Experiment. Math. 12 (2003), 155-186.
- [Ri] Kenneth A. Ribet, *Torsion points on abelian varieties in cyclotomic extensions* (appendix to an article by N. Katz and S. Lang), Enseign. Math. (2) 27 (1981), no. 3-4, 285-319 (1982).
- [Se] J. P. Serre, *Proprietes galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 259-331.
- [Sh] Sudhanshu Shekhar, *Parity of ranks of elliptic curves with equivalent mod p Galois representations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144(8) (2016), 3255-3266.
- [Si] J. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*.
- [Si1] ———, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81,
MANAULI, SAS NAGAR, PUNJAB, 140306, INDIA.

E-mail address: aribam@iisermohali.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (IIT) KANPUR, KANPUR, UTTAR PRADESH, 208016, INDIA.

E-mail address: sshekars2012@gmail.com